

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,202	04/16/2004	Kazutoshi Haraguchi	040183 7677		
	7590 10/26/2007 TOS & HANSON, LLP	EXAMINER			
1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			YOON, TAE H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1796		
				DEL MEDIT MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/825,202	HARAGUCHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tae H. Yoon			

		1 40 11. 10011	1 '		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet wi	ith the cor	respondence add	ress
THE F	REPLY FILED 18 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must time ly file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. 3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprollowing time periods:	n the same day as filing a Nowing replies: (1) an amendrotice of Appeal (with appeal	lotice of Apment, affid fee) in co	ppeal. To avoid aba lavit, or other evider mpliance with 37 Cf	nce, which FR 41.31; or
a) [The period for reply expires months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) {	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later				ichever is later. In
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		HEN THE F	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN TW
been fi CFR 1 above, earned	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date led is the date for purposes of determining the period of enterion (17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three me patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount d statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. nally set in	The appropriate exter the final Office action;	nsion fee under 37 or (2) as set forth
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a notice of Appeal has been filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of	the appeal.
<u>AMEN</u>	<u>IDMENTS</u>				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (ow);	see NOTE	E below);	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		•		ine issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	• •	nally rejec	ted claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))				
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			npliant Amendment	(PTOL -324).
6. 🔲	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			mely filed amendme	ent canceling
7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		b) 🛛 will	be entered and an	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed:				
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>6-8 and 10</u> .				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-5, 9 and 13-16</u> .				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections und	der appeal	and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation SEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claim	s after en	try is below or attac	hed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the appi	lication in	condition for allowa	nce because:
12 [see attachment.Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No/e)		1	
	Other:	(i TO/Ob/Oo) Faper No(s).		Zeloll In.	11
	·			Tae H Yoon	
				Primary Examiner	•

Primary Examine Art Unit: 1796 Application/Control Number: 10/825,202

Art Unit: 1796

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Rejection under Lorah et al is maintained for reason of record (claim 2 had been cancelled as stated by applicant, and thus, claims 1, 3-5, 9 and 13-16 are rejected) with following response

Again, the instantly recited "comprising" permits presence of other components such as a hydrophobic modification as the reason given by the examiner. Thus, applicant's argument that the recited "interact" is limited to only the clay and organic polymer has little probative value. Applicant further asserts that clays of Lorah et al are not water-swelling, but the examiner disagrees with such assertion since [0005] of Lorah et al clearly teaches intercalated and exfoliated nanocomposites and said intercalation and exfoliation are typical characteristics of water-swelling clays (again, see instant page 8, lines 3-8). Unmodified clay of an aqueous dispersion is taught in [0041] and [0065] as in the instant page 8, lines 3-8.

Claims 6-9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Rejection based on JP'639A is withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,202 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1796

THY/October 24, 2007